### DENSITY DEPENDENCE OF THE UPPER-MASS IMF - THE CASE OF ORION A

Wen-hsin (Tina) Hsu, University of Michigan Collaborators: Lee Hartmann (Michigan) Lori Allen (NOAO) Jesus Hernandez (CIDA) Tom Megeath (Toledo)

## Stellar Initial Mass Function

- \* Stellar initial mass function (IMF): mass distribution of a population of stars when they were initially formed
- \* High mass end: Salpeter slope  $\xi(M) = \xi_0 M^{-2.35}$ .
- \* Theoretical importance: test of star formation theories
- \* Observational importance: estimates of total stellar mass, SFR, feedback of an unresolved population all depend on the assumed IMF

## Motivation

### Question: Is the Upper-mass IMF universal?

- \* Hypothesis: high mass stars are less frequent in low density regions
  - ... and perhaps less frequent in low mass clusters (e.g. Weidner, Kroupa & Bonnell 2010)
- \* Test: Compare the ratio of high-mass stars to low-mass stars in a low-density region to
  (1) theoretical IMFs
  (2) a high-density environment

# Challenge

- \* Need a young region to know the environmental density
- \* Need large number of stars (N -1000) to test the uppermass IMF
- \* Need a massive low-density region to compare to highdensity regions
- \* Large area: Foreground/background contamination => Need spectroscopic survey to confirm members

### Does the IMF depend on Density?

ONC vs L1641 cluster distributed

\* ONC: ~1500 stars 2 O+ 7 early B stars well-populated IMF

\* L1641: > 500 stars
 earliest star is B4

\* Same distance, age
=> direct comparison

\* Goal: Characterize YSOs in L1641



### Does the IMF depend on Density?

ONC vs L1641 cluster distributed

\* ONC: ~1500 stars 2 O+ 7 early B stars well-populated IMF

\* L1641: > 500 stars
 earliest star is B4

\* Same distance, age
=> direct comparison

\* Goal: Characterize YSOs in L1641



Gutermuth 2012

## Spitzer Survey



\* -500 objects in L1641 with IR excess: protostars/dusty envelopes and stars with disks

\* No YSOs without IR excess, ~50% of the population => need to identify them on the optical CMD

\* For statistics, want as large a sample as possible
Megeath et al (2012)

## Sample

#### \* IR-excess stars: Spitzer IR survey from Megeath et al. 2012

#### \* Non-IR-excess stars:

V, V-I photometry to find non-excess stars Confirm with optical multi-object spectroscopy (Magellan/IMACS, MMT/Hectospec)



Monday, July 30, 2012

### Observational results

- : objects w/ disks
- : protostars
- + : non-IR excess



- \* -2000 targets selected for spectroscopy
- \* confirmed membership & obtained spectral types and extinction
- \* class II/class III ratio 1

#### V vs. V-I CMD

### Observational results

- : objects w/ disks 🔺 : class III
- : protostars
- + : non-IR excess



\* -2000 targets selected for spectroscopy

\* confirmed membership
 & obtained spectral
 types and extinction

\* class II/class III ratio - 1

### Intermediate Mass Stars

**\*** Improve sample:

optical photometry and spectra of late B to G stars missing from the low-mass sample (too bright)

- \* Main source of contamination: foreground F & G dwarfs, indistinguishable in CMD and low-res spectra => Kinematics needed
- \* Proper motion from UCAC to minimize contamination
- \* Radial velocity => Magellan/MIKE spectroscopy 20% of F & G stars observed (4 out of 20) are members

HR diagram



\* Age - 3-4 Myrs
\* Lack of O & early B star is not a result of evolution

Monday, July 30, 2012





- \* Test: ratio of N (O-B<sub>4</sub>) : N (> B<sub>4</sub>)
- \* Using ONLY the spectroscopic members, P (no stars earlier than B4) ~ 0.0018 (Chabrier 2005 IMF) P = 0.014 (Kroupa 2001 IMF)
- \* By using only the spectroscopic members, we underestimate the significance of the result.



0

-2

1.5

0.5 log m (M<sub>o</sub>) 2.0

\* By using only the spectroscopic members, we underestimate the significance of the result.



P (no stars earlier than B4) ~ 0.0018 (Chabrier 2005 IMF) P = 0.014 (Kroupa 2001 IMF)

1.5

0.5

log m (M<sub>o</sub>)

1.0

2.0

\* By using only the spectroscopic members, we underestimate the significance of the result.

# Comparison with ONC -Spectral Type distribution



- \* Av < 2 sample for both ~440 stars in ONC ~220 in L1641)
- \* Test ratio of N (O-B<sub>4</sub>) : N (> B<sub>4</sub>) (incomplete only in low-mass bin)
- \* "Fisher's exact test": P=0.085
- \* Difference not very significant; importance of sample size

# Comparison with ONC-K Luminosity Function



\* Spectroscopically-confirmed L1641 sample

- \* Muench et al. 2002
  (5' x 5' field centered on Trapezium)
- \* Test ratio of N(K<9): N(12>K>9)
- \* Fisher's test: P=0.024

\* Conservative

# Summary

- \* Photometric and spectroscopic surveys of a low-density region L1641 south of the ONC
- \* L1641 has a population size 1000, but earliest star is B4
- \* Unlikely (P-0.01) that the upper-mass IMF is the same as Kroupa (2001) or Chabrier (2005)
- \* Spectral type distribution inconclusive
- \* Bright end KLF different from that of the ONC, P=0.024 (conservative)
- \* High-mass stars are less frequent in low-density regions => density dependent upper-mass IMF