

Combining Weak and Strong Lensing in Galaxy Cluster Mass Reconstructions

Julian Merten

Supervisor: Matthias Bartelmann (ITA)



Abstract

While weak lensing cannot resolve cluster cores and strong lensing is almost insensitive to density profiles outside the scale radius, combinations of both effects promise to constrain density profiles of galaxy clusters well, and thus to allow testing the CDM expectation on dark-matter halo density profiles.

We develop an algorithm further that we have recently proposed for this purpose. It recovers a lensing potential optimally reproducing observations of both strong and weak-lensing effects by combining high resolution in cluster cores with the larger-scale information in weak lensing.

Weak and Strong Lensing

Weak lensing:

- Slight image distortions of background galaxies.
- Round sources would appear elliptical.
- Galaxies also carry intrinsic ellipticity.
- \Rightarrow Has to be treated statistically.
- Observable over a wide field, so it allows a very complete reconstruction.

Strong lensing:

- Spectacular distortion of background galaxies to giant arcs or even rings.
- Well resolved and observable effect.
- \Rightarrow No statistics necessary.
- Confined to the inner core of cluster.
- Therefore, the area in which one can perform a reliable reconstruction is limited.

The Reconstruction Method

Combining Weak and Strong Lensing

Regarding the advantages and disadvantages of both methods, it seems clear that an ideal reconstruction method based on gravitational lensing should make use of both lensing effects. There have been several attempts to implement a joint reconstruction method. We refer here to Bradač et al. (2005); Cacciato et al. (2006); Diego et al. (2007). In the following we summarise the main ideas of our combined weak and strong lensing reconstruction method. For a more detailed description, see Merten et al. (2008).

Input data:

• Arc positions

• Ellipticity catalogue

• Flexion catalogue

• Multiple image positions

This figure shows an adaptive averaging process for the background galaxies. This procedure is necessary for a efficient weak lensing reconstruction at a reasonable resolution. Since the averaging areas may overlap, one has to take into account correlations in the weak lensing χ^2 , as shown in this formula.



Features:

Maximum-likelihood

method

- Fully non-parametric
- Reconstruction quantity is the lensing potential ψ
- Minimising the χ^2 -function leads to the result
 - $\chi^2(\psi) = \chi^2_w(\psi) + \chi^2_w(\psi)$

 $\frac{\partial \chi^2(\psi)}{\partial \psi_I} = \frac{\partial \chi^2_{\mathsf{w}}(\psi)}{\partial \psi_I} + \frac{\partial \chi^2_{\mathsf{s}}(\psi)}{\partial \psi_I} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$



Since strong lensing constraints can be resolved very well by observations, using the coarse weak lensing reconstruction grid would result in a waste of information. Thus, we refine the grid resolution in the centre of the cluster, until the reconstruction can follow strong lensing features like arcs.



Results -

Simulated Clusters





 $\chi^2_w(\psi) = \sum_{i,i} \left(\varepsilon - \frac{Z(z)\gamma(\psi)}{1 - Z(z)\kappa(\psi)} \right)_i C^{-1}_{ij} \left(\varepsilon - \frac{Z(z)\gamma(\psi)}{1 - Z(z)\kappa(\psi)} \right)_i$





Here we show the reconstruction of the galaxy cluster MS2137. The cluster is suspected to be fairly relaxed, with a spherical shape. Our reconstruction supports this statement.

References

Bradač, M., Schneider, P., Lombardi, M., & Erben, T. 2005, A&A, 437, 39 Cacciato, M., Bartelmann, M., Meneghetti, M., & Moscardini, L. 2006, A&A, 458, 349 Diego, J. M., Tegmark, M., Protopapas, P., & Sandvik, H. B. 2007, MNRAS, 375, 958 Gavazzi, R., Treu, T., Koopmans, L. V. E., et al. 2008, ArXiv e-prints, 0801.1555v1

Mahdavi, A., Hoekstra, H., Babul, A., Balam, D. D., & Capak, P. L. 2007, ApJ, 668, 806 Meneghetti, M., Melchior, P., Grazian, A., et al. 2008, A&A, 482, 403 Merten, J., Cacciato, M., Meneghetti, M., et al. 2008, ArXiv e-prints, 0806.1967v1