Julian Merten

High cluster concentrations - A comparison using SUBARU and MareNostrum -

> Institut für Theoretische Astrophysik Zentrum für Astronomie Universität Heidelberg INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna

> > January 26th, 2010

with: M. Meneghetti, M. Bartelmann, T. Broadhurst, M. Oguri





Strong lensing clusters

- Extremely powerful cosmological probes
 - Inner DM profile
 - Additional information to weak lensing
 - Arc statistics
- Several aspects can alter the lensing properties
 - Substructure, asymmetries and projection effects
 - cD galaxy properties
 - Gastrophysics
 - Dynamical state
- One has to be careful while interpreting individual results



The MareNostrum Universe (Gottlöber et al. 2006)

- Large cosmological hydro-simulation using GADGET2
- Gas is included, but only with adiabatic physics
- Box size: 500 h^{-1} Mpc
- DM: 1024^3 particles with a mass of $8.24 \times 10^9 M_{\odot} h^{-1}$
- Gas: 1024³ particles with a mass of $1.45 \times 10^9 M_{\odot} h^{-1}$
- WMAP-1 cosmology: $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.3$ $\Omega_{\lambda,0} = 0.7$ $\Omega_{b,0} = 0.045$ $\sigma_8 = 0.9$ n = 1

Strong lenses



Selecting clusters (Meneghetti, Fedeli, Pace, Gottlöber, Yepes in prep.)

- The MareNostrum Universe contains:
 - ► ~ 957000 halos with $M > 5 \times 10^{11} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$
 - ~ 4000 halos with $M > 5 \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$
- Two classes of strong lenses:
 - Producing critical lines
 - Producing giant arcs with L/W > 7.5
- For these objects the lensing cross section σ is measured
 - 49366 critical lenses
 - 6375 clusters producing giant arcs
 - 11347 projections with $\sigma > 0$
- Strong lenses



Figure: inner: 50%, outer: 90%

Concentrations



Strong lenses

Orientation and triaxiality



Strong lenses

Concentrations revisited



Strong lenses

Concentrations revisited



Strong lenses

Concentrations revisited



The analysis in Meneghetti et al. in prep. exceeds the scope of this talk. Also X-Ray luminosities and the dynamical state of the strong-lensing sample are discussed.

Focusing on reality: Reconstruction tools (JM et al. 2009)

SaWLens in a nutshell

- Fully nonparametric joint reconstruction method
- Can make use of:
 - Ellipticity
 - Flexion
 - Multiple-image system
 - Critical-curve estimators
- Fully applicable to wide fields (tested with the COSMOS catalogues)
- MPI implemented
- Extensively tested

For pure strong lensing analysis we usually use Lenstool (Kneib et al. 1993, Jullo et al. 2007).

SaWLens performance (Meneghetti, Rasia, JM et al. 2009)



Strong lenses

15

9

Next generation codes: AMR (Bradač et al. 2009, JM et al. 2009, JM et al. in prep.)



Strong lenses

Next generation codes: GPU/CUDA (JM et al. in prep.)

- Problem: CPU time.
- In our case:

 $F_{lk} = a_i b_j C_{ij} D_{il} E_{jk}$ $G_l = a_i b_j C_{ij} E_{il}$ $l, k, i, j \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{grid}_\text{dim}^2)$

 A lot of simple arithmetic operations with no need for double precision.
⇒ GPU implementation

Next generation codes: GPU/CUDA (JM et al. in prep.)

- Problem: CPU time.
- In our case:

 $F_{lk} = a_i b_j C_{ij} D_{il} E_{jk}$ $G_l = a_i b_j C_{ij} E_{il}$ $l, k, i, j \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{grid}_\text{dim}^2)$

- A lot of simple arithmetic operations with no need for double precision.
 - \Rightarrow GPU implementation



NVIDIA Tesla C1060

- 240 streaming cores
- 4 GB DDR3 GPU memory
- 933 GFLOPS peak performance
- CUDA interface (C-based)
- Host-code allows for MPI

Next generation codes: GPU/CUDA (JM et al. in prep.)

- Problem: CPU time.
- In our case:

 $F_{lk} = a_i b_j C_{ij} D_{il} E_{jk}$ $G_l = a_i b_j C_{ij} E_{il}$ $l, k, i, j \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{grid}_\text{dim}^2)$

- A lot of simple arithmetic operations with no need for double precision.
 ⇒ GPU implementation
- Gains a lot of momentum in the astrophysics community, suggestions welcome!



NVIDIA Tesla C1060

- 240 streaming cores
- 4 GB DDR3 GPU memory
- 933 GFLOPS peak performance
- CUDA interface (C-based)
- Host-code allows for MPI

- Right now: 3hrs for a highly resolved reconstruction on a 24 core, InfiniBand, Linux cluster.
- \sim 60 GFLOPS on a state-of-the-art quadcore CPU \Rightarrow 360 GFLOPS on the cluster.
- Because of process communication \Rightarrow effectively \sim 300 GFLOPS.
- $933/300 \sim 3 \Rightarrow 1$ hr runtime on a desktop machine.
- But, GPU+MPI possible ⇒ minute scale already in range if you need it.

• Right now: 3hrs for a highly resolved reconstruction on a 24 core, InfiniBand, Linux cluster.

- \sim 60 GFLOPS on a state-of-the-art quadcore CPU \Rightarrow 360 GFLOPS on the cluster.
- Because of process communication \Rightarrow effectively \sim 300 GFLOPS.
- 933/300 \sim 3 \Rightarrow 1hr runtime on a desktop machine.
- But, GPU+MPI possible ⇒ minute scale already in range if you need it.

- Right now: 3hrs for a highly resolved reconstruction on a 24 core, InfiniBand, Linux cluster.
- \sim 60 GFLOPS on a state-of-the-art quadcore CPU \Rightarrow 360 GFLOPS on the cluster.
- Because of process communication \Rightarrow effectively \sim 300 GFLOPS.
- 933/300 \sim 3 \Rightarrow 1hr runtime on a desktop machine.
- But, GPU+MPI possible ⇒ minute scale already in range if you need it.

- Right now: 3hrs for a highly resolved reconstruction on a 24 core, InfiniBand, Linux cluster.
- \sim 60 GFLOPS on a state-of-the-art quadcore CPU \Rightarrow 360 GFLOPS on the cluster.
- Because of process communication \Rightarrow effectively \sim 300 GFLOPS.
- ullet 933/300 \sim 3 \Rightarrow 1hr runtime on a desktop machine.
- But, GPU+MPI possible ⇒ minute scale already in range if you need it.

- Right now: 3hrs for a highly resolved reconstruction on a 24 core, InfiniBand, Linux cluster.
- \sim 60 GFLOPS on a state-of-the-art quadcore CPU \Rightarrow 360 GFLOPS on the cluster.
- Because of process communication \Rightarrow effectively \sim 300 GFLOPS.
- $933/300 \sim 3 \Rightarrow 1$ hr runtime on a desktop machine.
- But, GPU+MPI possible \Rightarrow minute scale already in range if you need it.

- Right now: 3hrs for a highly resolved reconstruction on a 24 core, InfiniBand, Linux cluster.
- \sim 60 GFLOPS on a state-of-the-art quadcore CPU \Rightarrow 360 GFLOPS on the cluster.
- Because of process communication \Rightarrow effectively \sim 300 GFLOPS.
- $933/300 \sim 3 \Rightarrow 1$ hr runtime on a desktop machine.
- But, GPU+MPI possible \Rightarrow minute scale already in range if you need it.

- Right now: 3hrs for a highly resolved reconstruction on a 24 core, InfiniBand, Linux cluster.
- \sim 60 GFLOPS on a state-of-the-art quadcore CPU \Rightarrow 360 GFLOPS on the cluster.
- Because of process communication \Rightarrow effectively \sim 300 GFLOPS.
- $933/300 \sim 3 \Rightarrow 1$ hr runtime on a desktop machine.
- But, GPU+MPI possible \Rightarrow minute scale already in range if you need it.



• SUBARU sample Broadhurst et al. 2008

- SUBARU sample Oguri et al. 2008
- SUBARU cluster CL0024+1654 Umetsu et al. 2009
- LBT cluster A611 Donnarumma et al. in prep.

• SUBARU sample Broadhurst et al. 2008

THE SI	The Subaru Distortion Measurements Combined with the Einstein-Radius Constraint										
			Einstein Radius		$d \log N(< m)$	M _{vir}		1			
Cluster	z	Filters	(arcsec)	$\langle D_{s}, /D_{s} \rangle$	dm	$(10^{15} M_{\odot} h_{70}^{-1})$	Cvir	χ^2/dof			
A1689	0.183	Viť	$52 (z_1 = 3.05)$	0.704	0.150	1.59+0.24	15.69+3.96	4.94/9			
A1703	0.258	g'r'i'	$33 (z_r = 2.8)$	0.722	0.062	1.30+0.24	9.92+2.39	2.69/5			
A370	0.375	BRcz	43 $(z_1 = 1.5)$	0.606	0.088	2.93+0.36	7.75+1.12	5.54/8			
RX J1347-11	0.451	$V_{J}R_{c} z'$	$35 (z_s = 1.8)$	0.553	0.066	$1.47^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$	$10.42^{+3.25}_{-2.13}$	6.25/7			

TABLE 1 BARU DISTORTION MEASUREMENTS COMBINED WITH THE EINSTEIN-RADIUS CO

- SUBARU sample Oguri et al. 2008
- SUBARU cluster CL0024+1654 Umetsu et al. 2009
- LBT cluster A611 Donnarumma et al. in prep.

• SUBARU sample Broadhurst et al. 2008

TABLE 1

The Subaru Distortion Measurements Combined with the Einstein-Radius Constraint

Cluster	z	Filters	Einstein Radius (arcsec)	$\langle D_{s}, /D_{s} \rangle$	$\frac{d \log N(< m)}{dm}$	$(10^{15} \frac{M_{vir}}{M_{\odot}} h_{70}^{-1})$	с.,	χ^2 /dof
A1689	0.183	Viť	$52 (z_1 = 3.05)$	0.704	0.150	1.59+0.24	15.69+3.96	4.94/9
A1703	0.258	g'r'i'	$33 (z_r = 2.8)$	0.722	0.062	1.30+0.24	9.7	2.69/5
A370	0.375	BRcz	43 $(z_1 = 1.5)$	0.606	0.088	2.93+0.36	7.75+1.12	5.54/8
RX J1347-11	0.451	$V_{I}R_{c}z'$	$35 (z_s = 1.8)$	0.553	0.066	1.47 +0.26	$10.42^{+3.25}_{-2.13}$	6.25/7

- SUBARU sample Oguri et al. 2008
- SUBARU cluster CL0024+1654 Umetsu et al. 2009
- LBT cluster A611 Donnarumma et al. in prep.

Strong lenses

• SUBARU sample Broadhurst et al. 2008

THE SI	IRARU DI	STORTION	MEASUREMENTS C	OMBINED W	ITH THE EINSTE	IN-RADIUS CONST	RAINT	
Cluster	z	Filters	Einstein Radius (arcsec)	(D,,/D,)	$\frac{d \log N(< m)}{dm}$	M_{vir} (10 ¹⁵ M_{\odot} h_{70}^{-1})	C	χ^2/dot
A1689	0.183	Vď	$52(z_1 = 3.05)$	0.704	0.150	1.59+0.24	15.69+3.96	4.94/9
A1703	0.258	g'r'i'	$33 (z_r = 2.8)$	0.722	0.062	1.30+0.24	9.7	2.69/5
A370	0.375	BRcz	$43 (z_1 = 1.5)$	0.606	0.088	2.93+0.36	7.75+1.12	5.54/8
RX J1347-11	0.451	$V_{J}R_{c}z'$	$35 (z_s = 1.8)$	0.553	0.066	1.47+0.26	$10.42^{+3.25}_{-2.13}$	6.25/7

• SUBARU sample Oguri et al. 2008

Name		Weak lensing		Strong and Weak lensing			
	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$	Cvir	χ^2/dof	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$	Cvir	χ^2/dof	
A1703	1.95+0.65	3.3+1.4	2.7/5	1.50+0.40	6.5 ^{+1.2}	7.9/7	
SDSS1446	0.83+0.29	9.1+11.4	6.3/5	0.83+0.30	8.3+3.9	6.4/6	
SDSS1531	0.59+0.39	11.5+28.5	8.0/5	0.66+0.29	7.9+3.0	8.1/6	
SDSS2111	$0.92^{+0.41}_{-0.32}$	$14.1_{-9.5}^{+25.9}$	7.5/5	$0.92^{+0.41}_{-0.32}$	14.1+25.9	7.5/6	

SUBARU cluster CL0024+1654 Umetsu et al. 2009

LBT cluster A611 Donnarumma et al. in prep.

• SUBARU sample Broadhurst et al. 2008

1552 1333	17182		11	ADLEI	152	806 - 100					
THE SI	The Subaru Distortion Measurements Combined with the Einstein-Radius Constraint										
			Einstein Radius		$d \log N(< m)$	M					
Cluster	z	Filters	(arcsec)	$\langle D_{s,s}/D_{s,s}\rangle$	dm	$(10^{15} M_{\odot} h_{70}^{-1})$	Cvir	χ^2/dof			
A1689	0.183	$V_j i'$	$52 (z_1 = 3.05)$	0.704	0.150	1.59+0.24	15 (0+196	4.94/9			
A1703	0.258	g'r'i'	$33 (z_s = 2.8)$	0.722	0.062	$1.30^{+0.24}_{-0.29}$	9.92+2.39	2.69/5			
A370	0.375	BRcz	43 $(z_1 = 1.5)$	0.606	0.088	2.93+0.36	1.10 =0.92	5.54/8			
RX J1347-11	0.451	$V_{\rm J}R_{\rm c}z'$	$35 (z_s = 1.8)$	0.553	0.066	$1.47^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$	$10.42^{+3.25}_{-2.13}$	6.25/7			

• SUBARU sample Oguri et al. 2008

Name	1	Weak lensing		Strong	g and Weak lensing	
	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$		χ^2/dof	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$		χ^2/dof
A1703	1.95+0.65	3.3+1.4	2.7/5	1.50+0.40	6.5+1.2	7.9/7
SDSS1446	0.83+0.29	9.1-41	6.3/5	0.83+0.30	0.0-31	6.4/6
SDSS1531	0.59+0.39	11.5+28.5	8.0/5	0.66+0.29	7.9+3.0	8.1/6
SDSS2111	$0.92^{+0.41}_{-0.32}$	$14.1^{+25.9}_{-9.5}$	7.5/5	0.92+0.41 -0.32	$14.1_{-9.3}^{+25.9}$	7.5/6

SUBARU cluster CL0024+1654 Umetsu et al. 2009

LBT cluster A611 Donnarumma et al. in prep.

• SUBARU sample Broadhurst et al. 2008

			1.	ADLEI						
The Subaru Distortion Measurements Combined with the Einstein-Radius Constraint										
Cluster	z	Filters	Einstein Radius (arcsec)	$\langle D_{s}, D_{s} \rangle$	$\frac{d \log N(< m)}{dm}$	$(10^{15} M_{\odot} h_{\odot}^{-1})$	C _{vir}	χ^2 /dot		
A1689	0.183	Vjí	$52 (z_s = 3.05)$	0.704	0.150	1.59+0.24	15.69+3.96	4.94/9		
A1703	0.258	g'r'i'	$33 (z_s = 2.8)$	0.722	0.062	$1.30^{+0.24}_{-0.20}$	9.92+2.39	2.69/5		
A370	0.375	BRcz	$43 (z_1 = 1.5)$	0.606	0.088	2.93+0.36	7.75+1.12	5.54/8		
RX J1347-11	0.451	$V_{\rm J}R_{\rm c}z'$	$35 (z_s = 1.8)$	0.553	0.066	$1.47^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$	$10.42^{+3.25}_{-2.13}$	6.25/7		

• SUBARU sample Oguri et al. 2008

Name		Weak lensing		Strong and Weak lensing			
	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$	Cvir	χ^2/dof	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$	Cvir	χ^2/dof	
A1703	1.95+0.65	3.3+1.4	2.7/5	1.50+0.40	6.5 ^{+1.2}	7.9/7	
SDSS1446	0.83+0.29	0.1411.4	6.3/5	0.83+0.30	0.0129	6.4/6	
SDSS1531	0.59+0.39	11.5+28.5	8.0/5	0.66+0.29	7.9+3.0	8.1/6	
SDSS2111	$0.92_{-0.32}^{+0.41}$	14-1-9.5	7.5/5	$0.92^{+0.41}_{-0.32}$	14.1_9.3	7.5/6	

- SUBARU cluster CL0024+1654 Umetsu et al. 2009
- LBT cluster A611 Donnarumma et al. in prep.

• SUBARU sample Broadhurst et al. 2008

			1.	ADLEI						
The Subaru Distortion Measurements Combined with the Einstein-Radius Constraint										
Cluster	z	Filters	Einstein Radius (arcsec)	$\langle D_{s}, D_{s} \rangle$	$\frac{d \log N(< m)}{dm}$	$(10^{15} M_{\odot} h_{\odot}^{-1})$	C _{vir}	χ^2 /dot		
A1689	0.183	Vjí	$52 (z_s = 3.05)$	0.704	0.150	1.59+0.24	15.69+3.96	4.94/9		
A1703	0.258	g'r'i'	$33 (z_s = 2.8)$	0.722	0.062	$1.30^{+0.24}_{-0.20}$	9.92+2.39	2.69/5		
A370	0.375	BRcz	$43 (z_1 = 1.5)$	0.606	0.088	2.93+0.36	7.75+1.12	5.54/8		
RX J1347-11	0.451	$V_{\rm J}R_{\rm c}z'$	$35 (z_s = 1.8)$	0.553	0.066	$1.47^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$	$10.42^{+3.25}_{-2.13}$	6.25/7		

• SUBARU sample Oguri et al. 2008

Name		Weak lensing		Strong	g and Weak lensing	g
	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$	Cvir	χ^2/dof	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$	Cvir	χ^2/dof
A1703	1.95+0.65	3.3+1.4	2.7/5	1.50+0.40	6.5 ^{+1.2}	7.9/7
SDSS1446	0.83+0.29	9.1+11.4	6.3/5	0.83+0.30	8.3+3.9	6.4/6
SDSS1531	0.59+0.39	11.5+28.5	8.0/5	0.66+0.29	7.9+3.0	8.1/6
SDSS2111	$0.92_{-0.32}^{+0.41}$	$14.1_{-9.5}^{+25.9}$	7.5/5	$0.92^{+0.41}_{-0.32}$	$14.1_{-9.3}^{+25.9}$	7.5/6

• SUBARU cluster CL0024+1654 Umetsu et al. 2009

• LBT cluster A611 Donnarumma et al. in prep.

• SUBARU sample Broadhurst et al. 2008

			1.	ADLEI						
The Subaru Distortion Measurements Combined with the Einstein-Radius Constraint										
Cluster	z	Filters	Einstein Radius (arcsec)	$\langle D_{s}, D_{s} \rangle$	$\frac{d \log N(< m)}{dm}$	$(10^{15} M_{\odot} h_{\odot}^{-1})$	C _{vir}	χ^2 /dot		
A1689	0.183	Vjí	$52 (z_s = 3.05)$	0.704	0.150	1.59+0.24	15.69+3.96	4.94/9		
A1703	0.258	g'r'i'	$33 (z_s = 2.8)$	0.722	0.062	$1.30^{+0.24}_{-0.20}$	9.92+2.39	2.69/5		
A370	0.375	BRcz	$43 (z_1 = 1.5)$	0.606	0.088	2.93+0.36	7.75+1.12	5.54/8		
RX J1347-11	0.451	$V_{\rm J}R_{\rm c}z'$	$35 (z_s = 1.8)$	0.553	0.066	$1.47^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$	$10.42^{+3.25}_{-2.13}$	6.25/7		

• SUBARU sample Oguri et al. 2008

Name		Weak lensing		Strong	g and Weak lensing	g
	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$	Cvir	χ^2/dof	$M_{\rm vir}[10^{15}M_{\odot}]$	Cvir	χ^2/dof
A1703	1.95+0.65	3.3+1.4	2.7/5	1.50+0.40	6.5 ^{+1.2}	7.9/7
SDSS1446	0.83+0.29	9.1+11.4	6.3/5	0.83+0.30	8.3+3.9	6.4/6
SDSS1531	0.59+0.39	11.5+28.5	8.0/5	0.66+0.29	7.9+3.0	8.1/6
SDSS2111	$0.92_{-0.32}^{+0.41}$	$14.1_{-9.5}^{+25.9}$	7.5/5	$0.92^{+0.41}_{-0.32}$	$14.1_{-9.3}^{+25.9}$	7.5/6

- SUBARU cluster CL0024+1654 Umetsu et al. 2009
- LBT cluster A611 Donnarumma et al. in prep.

CL0024+1654 (JM et al. in prep.)



Strong lenses

CL0024+1654 (JM et al. in prep.)



Strong lenses

Conclusions

- Strong lensing clusters are important cosmological probes, but we have to understand better their properties.
- The MareNostrum Universe delivers a large sample of strong lenses.
- High cluster concentrations are not surprising for effective gravitational lenses.
- Second generation nonparametric codes allow for a reliable comparison between observations and simulations.
- GPU implementations will radically reduce the runtime of these methods.



